

Dana B. Taschner, Esq., SBN 135494
dbt@lanierlawfirm.com
Lee A. Cirsch, Esq., SBN 227668
lec@lanierlawfirm.com
LANIER LAW FIRM
2049 Century Park East, Suite 1940
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: (310) 277-5100
Fax: (310) 277-5103

W. Mark Lanier
wml@lanierlawfirm.com
LANIER LAW FIRM, PC
6810 FM 1960 West
Houston, Texas 77069
Phone: (713) 659-5200
Fax: (713) 659-2204

Attorneys for Plaintiffs MAURICE BRIGHAM and LANCE ORTLAND,
and all others similarly situated

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MAURICE BRIGHAM and LANCE
ORTLAND, individually, and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., an
Indiana Corporation; JOHNSON &
JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., a New
Jersey Corporation; and DOES 1-100,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:10-cv-03886-SI

**NOTICE AND LODGING OF
ORDER IN RELATED ACTION
(MDL 2197 TAG-ALONG)**

Notice And Lodging Of Order In Related Action (MDL 2197 Tag-Along)

Case No. 3:10-cv-03886-SI

1 TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR
2 ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs submit Notice of and hereby lodge
4 Order in Related Action (MDL 2197 Tag-Along), in *Cathy Rutherford v. DePuy*
5 *Orthopaedics, Inc. et. al.*, Northern District of Alabama Case No. 5:10-cv-02725-
6 IPJ, dated December 1, 2010, attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

7
8
9 Dated: December 2, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

10
11 

12 Dana B. Taschner
13 dbt@lanierlawfirm.com
14 Lee A. Cirsch
15 lec@lanierlawfirm.com
16 LANIER LAW FIRM, PC
17 2049 Century Park East, Suite 1940
18 Los Angeles, CA 90067
19 Phone: (310) 277-5100
20 Fax: (310) 277-5103

21
22 W. Mark Lanier
23 wml@lanierlawfirm.com
24 LANIER LAW FIRM, PC
25 6810 FM 1960 West
26 Houston, Texas 77069
27 Phone: (713) 659-5200
28 Fax: (713) 659-2204

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHEASTERN DIVISION**

CATHY RUTHERFORD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO. CV-10-J-2725-NE

DUPEY ORTHOPEADICS, INC.,
et al,

Defendants.

ORDER

The plaintiff having filed a motion for protective order (doc. 16) and the court having considered said motion and being of the opinion said motion is due to be granted in part and denied in part;

It is therefore **ORDERED** by the court that said motion be and hereby is **GRANTED** to the extent that defendants or their employees, agents, or representatives are prohibited from (1) directly or indirectly engaging in any and all ex parte communications with or interviews of plaintiff's treating physicians or doctors; and (2) directly or indirectly requesting and/or obtaining plaintiff's medical records from her treating physicians or doctors except through regular and normal discovery methods permitted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or under judicial supervision.

It is further **ORDERED** by the court that said motion be and hereby is **DENIED** to the extent that the court cannot prohibit the defendants or their employees, agents, or representatives from directly, or indirectly, engaging or compensating attorneys to represent non-defendant treating physicians, as non-parties are outside of this court's jurisdiction.

The court further **DENIES** said motion to the extent that the plaintiff seeks to have the court prohibit defendants or their employees, agents, or representatives from improperly influencing the testimony of non-defendant treating physicians. However, such conduct would be in violation of the oath each attorney must take when admitted to practice law before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama.

DONE and **ORDERED** this the 1st day of December, 2010.



INGE PRYTZ JOHNSON
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE